

RESEARCH RUBRICS FOR FINAL ORAL DEFENSE

Title of the Study: _____

Researchers: _____

Research Adviser: _____

Date of Completion: _____

Directions: Analyze the research output based on the following criteria below. Check the appropriate column (5 as the highest and 1 as the lowest) indicating your evaluation.

INTRODUCTION

Indicators	5	4	3	2	1
1. The introduction consists of an adequate introductory discussion of the problem					
2. Factors that led to the problem are well-discussed in paragraph 1					
3. The in-text citations are properly and clearly presented in the literature review.					
4. The Literature Review is comprehensively done by the researchers and they only stated researches relevant to their study.					
5. Conceptual framework adequately supports the main problem or the title of the study					
6. The gap of knowledge of the study is clearly and specifically stated in paragraph 3 to convince the readers of the need to conduct such study					
7. The research paradigm is able to provide the direction and skeletal framework of the study.					
8. The aims/ purposes or objectives were thoroughly presented.					
9. In general, the manuscript is scholarly written and has global impact in educational context as a whole.					

LITERATURE REVIEW AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Indicators	5	4	3	2	1
1. Cited literature and studies are adequate and relevant to the research problem.					
2. Related literature and studies are recent (five years ago to present year of the study)					
3. Foreign literature, studies, literature and local studies are present.					
4. Synthesis of the reviewed literature and studies is well-organized, concise (not too long nor too short) and is based on the researchers' logical analysis of the cited materials.					
5. The sources of the cited literatures and studies are appropriately acknowledged and or credited.					
6. The researchers were able to determine the construct and variable of their study from the theory presented.					
7. The framework presented conceptualizes the concepts of the theory appropriately.					

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHOD

Indicators	5	4	3	2	1
1. The research methodology is well-described and is suitable or fitting to the research problem.					
2. The participants of the study are well-described in terms of the sample profile, sample size and sampling technique and or procedure.					
3. The research locale is described in terms of its location, historical background, purposes and objectives and or mission and vision of the instruction or organization					
4. The procedure and or techniques of gathering data are explained in complete detail beginning from the time the researches sought permission to conduct the study.					
5. The research instrument is presented and discussed in terms of its validity and reliability (in case of self-made instrument)					
6. The research design and method employed by the researchers are correct and relevant to the fulfillment of the accurate results of the study.					
7. The statistical tool selected is appropriate to gather the needed data and answer the problem of the study.					
8. The statistical tool is brief and accurately used to answer the queries or objectives of the study.					



University of San Jose-Recoletos

College of _____
 _____ Semester

Department of _____
 A.Y. _____

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Indicators	5	4	3	2	1
1. Tables and figures are scholarly presented					
2. Drawing implications from the discovery of the study were done properly.					
3. Analysis of datasets is well-written and done.					
4. Interpretation of the results is objectively and subjectively presented.					
5. Datasets are treated with appropriate statistical tool					
6. Results are verifiable as implied from the datasets.					
7. Statistical results are analyzed correctly.					
8. The way the results are presented addressed the gap of the study or the title of their research					

CONCLUSION

Indicators	5	4	3	2	1
1. Conclusion is written in one paragraph only.					
2. Conclusion is drawn appropriately from the findings of the study through a generalization.					
3. The conclusion of the study is logically presented and written by the researches.					
4. The conclusion capsulate the entire research endeavors and answers the aims of the study.					
5. The conclusion follows parsimony and law of syllogism.					
6. Conclusion is not just mere repetition of their findings.					
7. Conclusion is correctly crafted and stated based on the guidelines of journal publication.					

REFERENCES

Indicators	5	4	3	2	1
1. The references cited in the manuscript are complete.					
2. The referencing follows an APA format.					
3. It follows an alphabetical order.					
4. It follows accurately and correctly the format in referencing all authors (bold, italics, etc.)					

OVER-ALL TOTAL: _____

REMARKS

- Passed _____ without revision
- Failed _____ with minor revision
- _____ with major revision
- _____ rejected

Name and Signature of Panel: _____

Date: _____

Noted by: _____
 Research Teacher

